1	77.2270	1	
1	Richard A. Roth * <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Email: Richard@rrothlaw.com		
2	Brian S. Levenson * <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>		
3	Email: Brian@rrothlaw.com		
4	THE ROTH LAW FIRM, PLLC 295 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor		
5	New York, NY 10017		
6	Phone: 212-784-6699		
7	Allen Hyman (California State Bar No. 73371)		
8	LAW OFFICES OF ALLEN HYMAN 10737 Riverside Drive		
9	North Hollywood, CA 91602		
10	Phone: (818) 763-6289		
11	Fax: (818) 763-4676 E-mail: lawoffah@aol.com		
12	L-man. <u>iawonan(w,aon.com</u>		
	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
13			
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16			
17	FIONA HARVEY,		
18	Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:24-cv-04744-RGK-AJR	
19	V.	DECLARATION OF	
20	NETFLIX, INC. and NETFLIX	BRIAN S. LEVENSON IN	
21	WORLDWIDE ENTERTAINMENT, LLC,	SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR LEAVE	
22	Defendants.	TO FILE DOCUMENTS IN	
23	Defendants.	OPPOSITION TO	
24		DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STRIKE UNDER SEAL	
25		10 STRIKE ONDER SEAL	
26		FILED UNDER SEAL	
27	1	PURSUANT TO L.R. 79-5.2.2(a)(i)	
	DECLADATION OF BRIAN LEVENSON	IN CUDDADT AF DI AINTERSO	
28	DECLARATION OF BRIAN LEVENSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO FILE DOCUMENTS IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STRIKE UNDER SEAL Case No. 2:24-cv-04744-RGK-AJR		

1

2

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

## Brian S. Levenson declares as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in New York. I have been admitted *pro hac vice* in this case. I am a partner of The Roth Law Firm, PLLC and attorney of record for Plaintiff Fiona Harvey in this case. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this declaration, and if called to testify as a witness, would and could competently do so. I respectfully submit this declaration in support of Plaintiff's Application for Leave to File Documents in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Strike Under Seal (the "Application").
- 2. Through this Application, Plaintiff seeks to file under seal Exhibits 1-23 of the Declaration of Fiona Harvey in Opposition to Netflix's Motion to Strike (the "Harvey Declaration").
- 3. Exhibits 1-23 of the Harvey Declaration are copies of the hate-filled Facebook messages Harvey has received in response to publicity generated by the Baby Reindeer series.
- 4. Exhibits 1-2, and 22 are messages accusing Harvey of being a rapist and committing crimes.
- 5. Exhibits 3-5, 7, and 8 are messages threatening to stalk and harm Harvey.
- 6. Exhibits 3-9 and 16 are messages hoping for harm to come to Harvey of for her to die.
  - 7. Exhibits 6, 9, and 16 are messages encouraging Harvey to kill herself.
- 8. Exhibits 10-23 are messages identifying Harvey as Martha, the character in the *Baby Reindeer* series.
- 9. Disclosure of this information would permit members of the public to further contact and harass Harvey and therefore redaction of this information is warranted. *Activision Publ'g, Inc. v. EngineOwning UG*, 2023 WL 2347134, at \*1

27

2

(C.D. Cal. Feb. 27, 2023) ("The Ninth Circuit has held that compelling reasons exist to keep personal information confidential to protect an individual's privacy interest and to prevent exposure to harm or identity theft."); Kamakana v. City & Cnty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006) ("compelling reasons' sufficient to outweigh the public's interest in disclosure and justify sealing court records exist when such 'court files might have become a vehicle for improper purposes,' such as the use of records to gratify private spite, promote public scandal, circulate libelous statements, or release trade secrets"); U.S. Tobacco Coop. Inc. v Big S. Wholesale of Virginia, LLC, 5:13-CV-527-F, 2016 WL 3573929, at \*1 (E.D.N.C. 2016)(sealing documents that contained "information that could subject certain individuals to physical harm and/or harassment"); Dish Network LLC v. Sonicview USA, Inc., No. 12 | 09-CV-1553 L (NLS), 2009 WL 2224596, at \*7 (S.D. Cal. 2009) (finding that protecting the identities of individuals who had served as confidential informants, and thereby protecting them from being subjected to threats of physical harm, outweighed the presumption of access to court records); Anonymous v C.I.R., 127 TC 89, 2006 WL 2572907 (Tax Ct. 2006).

Plaintiff's interest in this information not becoming "a vehicle for improper purposes," *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179, which is especially relevant given 19 that this lawsuit was brought because of the severe damage caused by Defendants' defamatory stalking allegations which have already led to a campaign of personal harassment and abuse of Plaintiff. Harvey Declaration, ¶¶ 17-19. As filed with this Court, the Harvey Exhibits are redacted to protect the privacy of Plaintiff and other third parties, and to prevent this information from becoming a vehicle for improper purposes.

26

27 28

	#.1201 	
1	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	
2	Executed on this 26 <sup>th</sup> day of August, 2024 in New York, New York.	
3		
4	s/Brian S. Levenson	
5	By: Brian S. Levenson * <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>	
6	Brian S. Levenson 170 rae 7 tee	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>		
28	4 DECLARATION OF BRIAN LEVENSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S	
20	APPLICATION OF BRIAN LEVENSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO FILE DOCUMENTS IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STRIKE UNDER SEAL Case No. 2:24-cv-04744-RGK-AJR	